

Feb 9th, 2012 (#1019)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through the Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb 9th, 2012:

Sooner or Later, Gov. Has All Your Data:

**"If the System was Real with Good Intentions
We Would have Less Restrictions on Inventions,
Take for Instance the Simple Computer
With Accessories, Gadgets, the Pocket Looter,
Sold as "Personal" to the Masses,
With "Privacy Controls", Oh Dumbed Down Asses,
While All Along the Globalists Knew
They'd Be Collecting Data From Me and You,
With All Your Data You Must Be Predictable,
But Most Users Never Cross into Unthinkable,
Psychological Teams Will One Day Visit
Those They Deem Anti-Social or Illicit,
So Be Nice and Daily Upload Info Online,
Keep Your Profile Updated, Be Good, All's Fine"**

© Alan Watt Feb 9th, 2012

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb 9th, 2012 (Exempting Music, Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, *INTERNATIONAL* postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL *postal*
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is *Cutting Through the Matrix* on the 9th of February, 2012. For newcomers, as always, help yourself to the free audios available at

cuttingthroughthematrix.com, and hopefully, by going through them, you'll understand this system that is a global system. It overrides all national governments. It has members inside governments, obviously. It has had for pretty well a hundred years. And how this system, this organization, really, of big foundations, international bankers, decided a long time ago, they were not going to let us have democracy, but they would use democracy on the public to make them think they had it. And how they would use it also to bring the world into the same system, which really is a new system all together. It's post democratic, in fact. According to one of the think tanks that's employed by the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the United Nations, the Club of Rome, we're post democratic, we're post consumer, and the world they want to bring in is this, the Planned Society, basically. Bring down the population, and make the peasants more amenable to be handled by experts, which is already here for the general public. And how to make things more efficient. Everything is based on efficiency, and again, it's all to do with less consumption all around. Almost a wartime measures. We had it too good, they declared at the top. While they should be raking in billions and trillions more, in profits every year, we're just consuming too much. And they want more to tax off of you. And of course, government is completely, in a fascist style, in bed with the corporations. The feudal system that Carroll Quigley talked about. So, the audios will explain it to you. A lot of books that are to be read by the guys who helped design the system, a lot of them are dead now, but we're living through the parts that they actually designed, all the different characters involved. So, it goes on and on, this New World Order, as they say, as they make it new all the time.

And remember too, you're the audience that bring me to you. You can help me tickle along here, by buying the books and discs I have at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. And from the US to Canada, you can still use a personal check or send cash, or use an international postal money order to order. And across the world, Western Union, Money Gram, and PayPal. And straight donations are awfully welcome.

We know, and what we do here really, or I do, and the listeners of course know this, as we, we know that things are going down fast now. And everyone has been made dependent upon the internet. And they couldn't bring in this global system in fact without the computer. That was the last thing they had to bring out, was the computer. And they planned that back in the 50s, believe it or not. You know, in the 60s, they showed you computers, just like desktop computers, when all the other television exposés on computers were showing you massive rooms with these big tape things going around, they actually had desktop computers, and they showed them on television in Britain and other countries, from Japan, where you didn't even have to type anything in. You could speak to them in any language, and then, up would come whatever you were looking for. So, really, they've had this technology for an awful long time. They're always miles ahead of any stuff they give to us, as the latest whatever. And they use the technology against us. And they could never do all of this, never, without the computer.

Most folk put all their personal information up on a daily basis. Everyone has got a profile made of them, personality profile, regardless if they don't put much up at all. And the whole idea is to make sure you're predictable in this Brave New World system that's pretty well here. It's pretty well here folks. But I try to chronicle it, and take you back to the history, the big foundations, organizations, nongovernmental organizations, all of which you have no say. You don't elect them. They simply appoint themselves to governments and tell them what to do. Technocracy, you see. That's really what it's all about, as I've been saying for years. Back with more, after this break.

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is *Cutting Through the Matrix*, talking about this big system we live in. Most folk think really we're just evolving, and everything is evolving,

haphazardly, and politicians rush in and fix the problems that arise, etc. Nothing is further from the truth. Nothing happens on any scale across the world that isn't debated years and years and years ago. And bills drafted up waiting to be used down the road. Things like that.

This article here is to do with the British Government. It says:

The British government is about to unveil proposals to block the Internet for copyright enforcement purposes.

(Alan: Now, this is very deceptive, because it's not just for that at all.)

The confirmation came in a Parliamentary debate yesterday on Intellectual Property, in which pro-copyright MPs had a little 'chit-chat'

(A: As they say.)

about the allegedly 'anti-copyright' government, and indicated their desire for the activation of the Digital Economy Act.

(A: See, that's the most important part. The Digital Economy Act. That's everything that you want to buy, second-hand, whatever, eBay, the whole lot. Taxes, folks.)

The Minister of State for Business, Mark Prisk, said that an announcement on website blocking for copyright enforcement is "imminent". He did not give any detail, but did hint that the proposals would be 'welcome'.

(A: I don't know by whom. It says:)

Given the forum in which he was making the statement (see below), the word 'welcome' should be interpreted from the perspective of the copyright industries, and could include measures targeting Google and search engines.

The proposals have been under development for at least six months by the telecoms regulator, Ofcom, and the Department of Culture, Media and Sport.

(A: They actually have departments, government departments of culture. I've said that for years. I used to wonder why the Communists had it in the Soviet Union. If you people are the culture, why do you need a Department of Culture? It's to guide you into the PC cultures that they bring along, until you're all compliant.)

They have been expected for the past couple of weeks, according to Parliamentary insiders. It is possible that they will take the form of a Green Paper, the first step to legislation.

Mark Prisk's actual words were:

"We need an IP system that helps business and consumers to realise all the opportunities presented, which is why we are actively supporting the UK's creators and the creative industries and why, to benefit creators, we voted in Europe to extend the term of protection for sound recordings from 50 to 70 years—

(A: So, if you play anything at all, you have to go back prior to that and play stuff that's the old black and white stuff, from movies or something, before then. Before 70 years ago.)

a really important step for originators of music and other sound recordings. It is also why [...]we pressed to introduce measures to tackle online infringement of copyright through the Digital Economy Act 2010."

"we are closely considering the issue around the blocking access, whether to block access to websites that infringe copyright. We will have something to say about that shortly, but, as I would like to continue to have a positive working relationship with my ministerial colleagues in the Department for Culture, Media and Sport, I shall not pre-empt what they are about to say..."

(A: And it says:)

Mr Prisk seems to have forgotten that it was the Labour

(A: That's the left.)

government - now the opposition - which pressed for the extension of copyright term protection, and it was Labour who pressed for the Digital Economy Act.

(A: And it's got the links in this article, which I will put up at the end of the broadcast. I put all these links up that I talk about.)

The question which prompted the Minister's answer, was put by the Labour MP for Newcastle, Chi Onwurah. She is currently shadow Minister for Business.

(A: You never see these folk. Just kind of in the corner of your eye, and they're gone, you know.)

Under the previous administration, until May 2010, Ms Onwurah was head of telecoms technology, at Ofcom.

She spoke in favour of anti-downloading measures, and in support of the Digital Economy Act.

The debate was instigated by the Scottish Nationalist MP, Pete Wishart. Mr Wishart is himself a musician and an open friend of the BPI. In his opening remarks, he bragged about having a ticket to the Brit Awards, the show-biz highlight of the music industry year.

What was interesting about this debate is how Mr Wishart launched an attack [on] Google, before turning his verbal weaponry onto the Intellectual Property Office and the IP Minister, Baroness Wilcox, as well as Consumer Focus. He accused the government, and the IPO of giving too much support to IT industry lobbyists, and even that they are "anti-copyright".

Mr Wishart further claimed the music industry are being dismissed by government Ministers whose ears are being bent by Google. He came close to

suggesting that Google could have dominated the Hargreaves review, and only just refrained from the suggestion that Downing Street is in the pockets of Google.

Well, you know, Downing Street will be in the pockets of anybody that's got a lot of cash. They've already proven that. So, anyway, it's more than that, as I say. It's the whole, it's to do with the whole marketing industry, for those who want to buy or sell, or as I say, buy used. You'll be paying taxes on everything that's used as well. And that's really what it's about. And this article here, it says here was put out by iptegrity.com, Monica Horten. So, I'll put that up tonight for those to peruse, to see what it's really all about, because it's far more than just to do with music, etc. It's everything that people buy and sell all the time, used things. Taxes.

Now, this is an interesting article, because we've all heard of the Genome Project, after they'd done it all on everybody. They never told us what they were doing. They were doing the Genome Project for the whole human species, it seems, many years ago, and keeping it all quiet, and taking the DNA of all babies born for thirty, forty years and keeping that quiet too, because we're all profiled in every possible way, folks. This little article is quite interesting. It says:

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has announced that it is launching a project to collect and catalog DNA identification data for up to 1,500 human cell lines used in biological and medical research.

(A: So they want a cross-section of all our cell lines, you know, and we'll all get cataloged into them.)

In a notice posted in the Feb. 3, 2012, Federal Register, NIST called for voluntary contributions of cell lines to be cataloged in the project.

(A: They'll also have a lot of involuntary ones too that won't even know they've had them taken. It says:)

The data will be collected in a publically accessible database hosted by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI),

(A: It's amazing all the departments of government, eh?)

a division of the National Library of Medicine of the National Institutes of Health.

(A: And it says, here's what it's about though.)

"Immortalized" human cell lines are laboratory

(A: I'll say that again for the hard of thinking.)

"Immortalized" human cell lines are laboratory cultures of cells that have been induced to continue growing and replicating.

(A: Like cancers, you see. You understand too, with having all different types of genomes out there, and types that we all have, we're varied. This could also be used for warfare purposes, to bring down all different kinds for targeting, for cancers.)

They are widely used in pharmaceutical, biomedical and biotechnology research, multiplied and divided, passing from lab to lab and country to country.

(A: And then, they go into what I've been just saying.)

The oldest such cell line is the so-called HeLa line, originally derived from cervical cancer cells.

(A: From one woman. And:)

That line dates to 1951.

The biomedical research community has become increasingly concerned about mix-ups, cross contamination and misidentification in widely used cell lines—

(A: This one that they used from the woman Henrietta Lacks, her name was, they're still using her original tumors today. Well, going back a little bit here, it says:)

"Immortalized" human cell lines are laboratory cultures of cells that have been induced to continue growing and replicating.

(A: I've read an awful lot on Henrietta Lacks, and it never says anywhere that they'd actually tampered with her cells to make them keep growing. What I do know is that her cells could contaminate a whole laboratory of cultures, which it did, in Russia and different places. They sent it all over the world, and she was from the US. So, they can literally turn off the aging gene in the cells, the one that causes them to die off. And you think that they can't do that in a human cell too, when you're still alive? Anyway:)

The biomedical research community has become increasingly concerned about mix-ups,

(A: That's what they're claiming.)

—problems that potentially could invalidate research results. The problem was highlighted by the work of University of California researcher Walter Nelson-Rees, who in a series of papers in the 1970s documented extensive misidentification of cell cultures contaminated with cells from the HeLa line.

(A: This was one tumor from this woman, was contaminating laboratories across countries.)

Studies since then have demonstrated that the problem is, if anything, getting worse. In one survey, the German cell line repository Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ) found that 18 percent of human cancer cell lines sampled were misidentified.

(A: 18%. That's false positives and false negatives, you know.)

A key problem to date has been the lack of a convenient, reliable method by which research groups can validate the identity of their lines. The NIST project

seeks to remedy that by building a database of cell lines that are reliably identified by profiling DNA markers called short tandem repeats (STRs)—the same technique used in criminal forensics to match DNA samples.

(A: So, we're all going to have this done, you understand. And if they've got your whole make-up basically, they can literally, just turn on a particular tissue, or turn off its aging process, and bingo, you're going to have rampant tumors.)

The profile analyzes nuclear DNA from the cells for STRs—short sequences of DNA bases that are repeated from two to six times in row—at eight specific sites on the molecule. It also checks a gene to determine cell gender. The probability that two unrelated cells will have matching profiles is approximately 1 in 100 million.

So, I'll put this one up tonight too. There's much more to it, for those who have still got the capacity to hold their attention on something for ten minutes. It's important stuff. Important stuff, because when they go to this kind of length to do something, there's always something else behind it. Big, big money, a lot of time, a lot of work. It's not just what they're telling you here. It's for more purposes than that, believe you me. And of course, universities, etc, are widely used by government and the military for such purposes too. Back with more, after this break.

Hi folks, we're back, and we're *Cutting Through the Matrix*. This article is interesting too. It's about GlaxoSmithKline, that gets all the big government contracts for ten years at a time for flu stuff that doesn't work and stuff. All that kind of thing. Great marketers, obviously, and they put an awful lot of money into, we'll just say, *lobbying*, which is a polite word for something else. And it says:

Nervous GlaxoSmith-Kline pulls cash out of eurozone to avoid crisis

Some of the withdrawn money is being used to pay dividends to shareholders, which rose by 8% to 70p a share.

(A: So, anyway, what they're talking about is it might take a turn for the worse, and they're getting the cash out basically every day, just pulling the cash out every darn day, like many other corporations are doing right now too. And it says they've)

...been withdrawing "tens of millions of pounds" from "most of the eurozone" – excluding Germany.

"You don't have money in banks you're nervous about," he explained. Some of that money is being used to pay dividends to shareholders, which rose more than expected, by 8% to 70p a share (plus 5p related to the sale of the North American over-the-counter brands).

GSK has also "raised the ante" on collecting debts from eurozone governments,

(A: See, the governments all make contracts with these private, you know, international corporations, these pharma companies, for ten years sometimes.)

especially in southern Europe. GSK sells many of its drugs to wholesalers but also sells some directly to hospitals. "We've been able to reduce our debts in southern Europe" leaving GSK with a figure that is "not scary," said Witty. He

welcomed the European Central Bank's efforts to pump money into the banking system in the past six months, saying they'd had a "very positive effect on banking liquidity and confidence".

So, here again, your central banks, your big international corporations, who have got governments in debt and signed on to massive long-term contracts for useless flu shots and things like that, they're all working together to make massive profits. But the interesting part too, is where you go down, and he's talking about, oh, they had this before a few years ago, and they had to go down and pay their staff with basically just big bags of money. Bags of money, cash itself. If you were caught with that kind of cash, and the cops caught you, you'd be in the slammer. They'd confiscate the money; where'd you get that? But they can do it, you see. They can go to the doors with their staff, I'm talking about the high boys, with sacks of the stuff and hand it to them for pay and bonuses and all that. That's the interesting part of the whole story.

And this article too is about how the elite are. They always breed their own, like Bertrand Russell said. And he said that eventually the ruling elite will become basically a different species, because they'll know what reality is, truly is, as opposed to the fake one they give to the general public. That happened long ago, that fake reality, and it's ongoing too. This is about a bunch of City boys, that's London, the City of London, the banking fraternity, some of their sons.

City boys' shame after rugby email goes viral

For four young City high-fliers, the adage "what goes on tour, stays on tour" has unravelled after a private email with their "tour rules" went viral

In the indiscrete memo, the former public schoolboys -

(A: Public over there means private, by the way.)

who called their group "G4"

(A: Just like the G8 and the G20)

- drew up 13 rules for their upcoming trip to the Dubai 7s rugby tournament in March that stated "cheating is allowed" along with compulsory chants about "how rich we are".

(A: Because they're all little stinking rich boys, because they all work for the big international banks in London, you see.)

The instructions also included "mentioning parents' salaries once a day"

(A: To boast, you see.)

as well as referring to obscene sexual acts.

The men, who all work for international corporations,

(A: They're not that old.)

included brief profiles about one another in the email that was sent to

employees at global companies including Clifford Chance and Barclays Wealth.

Their antics could prove damaging to their careers after they included details of their jobs and employers in a mock “CV”, which made its way around the world.

So, that’s their form of fun, is sharing what daddy’s income is and what your income is, as the whole world goes through a bank-caused depression. Right?

Also tonight too, I’ll show you another, another commonplace video now, as we all get trained, that when a cop sets his eyes on you, you’re going to get tasered at the very least and kicked and beat up. And this is exactly what they did to a man in a diabetic coma in a car. So, it’s quite something to watch. And there will be no apologies. And of course, nothing will happen to the cops, because, the message is to the public, the cops are beyond reproach. Whatever they do is okay by government. That’s what it’s all about. That’s all what it’s all about.

Canada better beware too, especially the young guys and gals, because there’s bad batches, if you can call it bad batches, of ecstasy out there. In Calgary they’ve had different admissions to hospital. I think they’ve had quite a few deaths there, maybe eight or so, maybe more by now. And along with some places in British Columbia as well. And it says:

Pair of Edmonton women land in Calgary hospital after taking ecstasy

And this stuff is a super ecstasy. It’s made with different chemicals, obviously, as a substitute, so it’s far more potent, but it ends up killing you, you know, if you don’t get any treatment. So, there’s that article, I’ll put up tonight too. Plus, a few other ones to do with the same topic, just to get it through some folk’s heads. Maybe you’ll save one, one in a thousand from trying this.

And also a former ecstasy user who credits a Last Door youth program for helping him kick his habit, and that’s Miles Murphy. So, I’ll put these ones up tonight too, just to warn folk who are naïve and young, and you know, pop the pill, and may not wake up again. And that’s the music coming in now, and I’ll read some more after I come back from this break.

Hi folks, I’m back, *Cutting Through the Matrix*. This article here, again, is about ISPs and URLs and so on.

Canada Court Rules ISPs Shouldn’t Be Treated as Broadcasters

Canada’s Supreme Court ruled that Internet service providers such as Rogers Communications Inc. and BCE Inc. shouldn’t be regulated as broadcasters when they offer video and audio content online.

The country’s broadcasting law isn’t meant to “capture entities which merely provide the mode of transmission,” such as companies that offer Internet access, the court said in a unanimous judgment.

The ruling may enable companies such as Rogers to contain costs as they look to expand offerings of on-demand TV shows and movies, and consumers spend more time watching video over the Internet.

The Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission, which

oversees conventional broadcasters, asked the Federal Court of Appeal to rule on whether Internet service providers should be regulated as such when they provide access to content such as television shows and movies online.

So, again, there's so many laws getting passed right now. And you have to really go through them to find out what they're really, really, really all about. Because, you know, understand too, when it comes to marketing, government itself, and government rules and regulations, they try to put a nice smiley face on everything, which can give you an opposite impression of what they're really all about. But it would tie in with laws they're passing in Britain and elsewhere too, to do with journalism and bloggers and things like that. They want to bring in common rules, etc, etc, etc. And so, that's going to be interesting how all that pans out.

And also that story came in in January, the end of January, about the:

16 kilo consignment of cocaine that Mexican drug traffickers recently lost

(A: They say lost. We don't know that at all. This is their spin, right off the bat.)

has turned up in an unlikely place - the United Nations in New York.

Police and UN officials Thursday described how two fake

(A: Now listen, this is their spin. You understand, if you want to spin something, this is how you do it. The first part is saying that Mexican drug traffickers recently lost, at the very beginning to give you your impression. That's their premise for the rest of the story, you see. It turns up at the United Nations. The second part is two fake United Nations bags containing the drugs. Why would they have to be fake ones, if it came from Mexico? There's thousands and thousands of stuff coming from the United Nations into Mexico with real UN bags. Anyway:)

which experts said had a street value of about \$2 million - set off a security alert when they were delivered, apparently by accident,

(A: Here again, apparently by accident. You see.)

to the global body's headquarters.

(A: You understand, this stuff is used as a currency across the world. It says:)

The bags, which had the UN symbol printed on them, were shipped from Mexico through the DHL delivery company's center in Cincinnati, Ohio, Deputy Commissioner Paul Browne of the New York Police Department told AFP.

But the bags had no address on them, nor any return to sender details.

(A: Well, naturally, the sender wouldn't put his address on it, would he? But who paid for the shipping? Somebody paid for the shipping. And believe you me, they're not stupid in Mexico as to mistakenly send it off to the United Nations. It says:)

"It is my understanding that because there was no addressee, the DHL just thought well that's the UN symbol so we should ship it on to UN headquarters and let them figure out who it was supposed to go to," Browne said.

But the two UN bags were "obvious fakes"

(A: Yep.)

and were quickly intercepted by security staff when they arrived on January 16. The bags contained about 14 hard cover books which had been hollowed to create space for the cocaine.

"The working theory now is that possibly it was never meant to have left Mexico at all,"....

I doubt that. I very much doubt that. So, that's their spin on what the big boys do, and when something gets caught, you know, that should have not been caught at all, probably.

This article too is about something I mentioned yesterday, to do with the new contraception devices, implants that young girls in Britain can get, without their parents having any knowledge, at the age of thirteen. And so, at least the mainstream has caught on to this one. And it says:

We are sleep-walking ourselves into state-sanctioned parenting

(A: Now, part of the Fabian Society, all the Socialist societies, Marxist societies, and your banking conservative societies, has always been to get this planned society on the go, where eventually they would decide, the State would decide who could marry whom. And also to do with eugenics, as well, of course. Eventually they even said in some of their writings that you'd have to serve the state well to get the permission to actually have a child. So it says:)

We are sleep-walking ourselves into state-sanctioned parenting

(A: Then the woman goes on, this journalist goes on to say about how broadminded she is about sex and all the rest of it. And tells her fourteen-year-old child about issues and stuff like that, and yadda, yadda, yah. But then she says:)

I am a firm advocate of the mantra that 'knowledge is power' and nowhere more so than when it comes to equipping my child in the arena of sexual relations. So, I'm no prude.

(A: She goes overboard to tell you this, you see. And then she says, she's openminded, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. And then it says:)

Take this as the source of today's consternation. Schools and doctors in the UK are routinely giving 13-year-old girls contraceptive implants.

And, because they are covered by various laws that forbid them revealing that they are doing this - or even seeking permission in the first place - parents of these children are left unaware that this is happening.

(A: And of course, they don't want you to have children. By the way, you have to go into the G20, and the deals they have made with China on population reduction. I've done it all. And China demanded that the West, as I always knew, go along with the

same policies, until eventually they get the same one-child policy, or even less, until most couples have none at all, if there's any couples left, that is. It says:)

Neither are we talking small numbers here. Last year 1,700 girls aged 13 and 14 were fitted with implants, while 800 had contraceptive injections.

Frankly, it's too early in the morning for me to be reading outrageous statistics like this. That is such a preposterous idea that it should have been booted out at the drawing board stage.

So this is what it has come to? The State

(A: The State:)

has now assumed the ultimate control - that of taking over the role of parents, above and beyond the real ones?

(A: Well, I think this author should go, this journalist should go and read Bertrand Russell, because he was on the big world committee meetings. He wrote about it in his books as well, where he talked about the experiments they'd already done, to see if they could leave the children with the parent, he called it scientific indoctrination of the child, the parents' ultimate old-fashioned, contaminated culture would not go on to the child, because at school they would get the proper scientific indoctrination. In other words, they would ignore the parents altogether. That was done. He says, so we don't have to take the children from them at birth after all and have the State raise them. That was the ultimate thing they wanted to do. We don't have to, he says. He says, so, technically the parent really now is just the economic provider for the child. So, that's what you are, to this journalist. That's what I'm saying here. That's what you are now. You're an economic provider. It saves the State money. It says:)

Sometimes I just despair and yearn for a return to simpler times when parents were the responsible adults and children knew that. I cannot bear such interference on a mass scale, it is verging on the obscene.

We must ask, surely, what kind of society have we become when it is perfectly legal - desirable, even, according to the Government who initiated this step - that children, and that is what 13 year olds are, are encouraged to take contraception in the first place?

(A: Well, look at all the movies and stuff you've been watching for the last twenty, thirty years. They push the envelope every year.)

Before anyone shouts me down with 'but we have one of the highest teen pregnancy rates in Europe'. I know that. What concerns me, is why do we?

(A: And why do you have the highest teen pregnancy rates in Europe? Never used to be like that. Look at every music television thing you see. Look at every darn movie you see. What's it all about, folks? Hyper-promiscuity, that's what they said they'd bring in. Julian Huxley talked about it too, when he was head of UNESCO at the United Nations. Anyway, it says:)

And what are we doing about that in terms of dissuading our young people from

becoming parents, rather than tooling them up to premature sexual experimentation in the first place?

(A: Because Bertrand Russell said if we can get them doing this before even puberty, he says, they'll be hyper sexual. They'll never stay with one partner to have a family, you see. And then it goes on too, about some of the side effects of the stuff too, near the bottom of this article. And it's quite a lot of nasty side effects it has. It's quite something else indeed. It says:)

The implant, inserted under the skin, can 'get lost' by migrating to another part of the body and removal can be difficult

(A: It might even be impossible.)

and can be extremely painful and cause scarring.

Then there is the actual issue of placing foreign bodies into our system. These can cause, deep breath, upper respiratory tract infection, abdominal pain, sore throat, vaginal discharge, flu-like symptoms,

(A: Here's the statistics, actually, what they say, what they're throwing into these children:)

upper respiratory tract infection (1 in 8 people),

(A: Get that. Because of this implant.)

abdominal pain (1 in 9), sore throat (1 in 10), vaginal discharge (1 in 10), flu-like symptoms (1 in 13), increased cramps and painful menstruation (1 in 14), dizziness

(A: Children shouldn't be dizzy, folks.)

(1 in 14), back pain (1 in 15), nausea (1 in 16).

(A: None of the parents were asked about this. See, you don't matter. The proles don't count. Remember George Orwell. The proles don't count. Everyone is a prole, you see. Unless you belong to the ruling class. It says:)

Let us also not forget the psychological implications of the implant including mood swings - like teenage girls need assistance in that matter - and anxiety and clinical depression.

There is even, depending on the length that the implants or injections are used for, the possibility of bone thinning.

(A: This is teenagers.)

The upshot is that the State is sanctioning a campaign of ill-health on our children and parents are blind to it. That is wrong on so many levels and yet we accept it under the guise of law.

That is quite aside from the fact that contraceptive implants, pills or injections

cannot prevent STD's or HIV. Neither are they 100 per cent when it comes to averting a pregnancy.

Personally, I don't care how many health professionals tell me this is something we should do. I disagree.

(A: Good for her.)

In my experience, there are too many so-called child experts who aren't experts on the front line, e.g. being a parent. And yet, they have the audacity to tell those of us who are how to do our jobs. No way. Not on my watch.

So, it's not a bad article. But they don't care about your health. You understand that? They just don't want you to have a child. What happens to you is just too bad, you see. That's it. That's how they take it. It's all done by high bureaucracies that see you as a number, that's all. That's all. And that's from the Mail Online by Sonia Poulton.

And:

The US government has created a new program that would enlist local internet cafés as spies for the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

(A: That's not really new. It's current, but it's not new. They were doing this from the beginning. I remember reading articles a few years ago about the same thing.)

Flyers that list "suspicious" activities have been made accessible to the business owners in accordance with the program, the so-called Communities Against Terrorism (CAT).

According to the FBI, the "suspicious" activities that need to be monitored include the following: always paying the internet fee in cash rather than with a credit card, using the internet service at the cybercafé in spite of having an internet access at home,

(A: So they already know that, right?)

and participating in nonviolent demonstrations, etc.

(A: So if you're involved in nonviolent demonstrations and you pay in cash for your coffee or whatever, then you're suspicious. You're a cyber terrorist.)

People are also suspected of being terrorists if they own precious metals, purchase flashlight or store a seven-day food supply.

An individual can be suspected of planning a terrorist attack if engaged in one or more of the listed activities.

Internet café owners are then advised to gather personal information about the suspected person, such as ethnicity, languages spoken, and license plates, etc.

The recent move comes as the FBI has recently been trying to pass a new law, in which website-owning companies need to integrate into a system for monitoring user posts. The system would also be integrated with popular social

network sites such as Facebook and Twitter.

The reason being, obviously, is that it's all part of the CIA / NSA organization and always was from the beginning. They always give you your heroes. Oh, this guy is a genius. He did this all on his own. Let's give him \$270 million to start it up. What a joke, eh? But we fall for these jokes. Most folk do, you know. They really fall for the jokes.

And then in Greece:

'There's no more left to cut'

Thousands of Greeks protest over the 'asphyxiating pressure' from foreign creditors

(A: And that's true enough. It says:)

That's enough, we can't take it anymore." That was the popular chant coming from protesters in Athens yesterday during the latest 24-hour general strike against the country's austerity measures.

(A: This is going to come everywhere, folks. We're actually all under it ourselves. The International Monetary Fund is already advising the US Government and Britain and other countries as to how to handle their cash in their austerity times.

This is an excellent article. And it's CCHR: Whistleblower Allen Jones – Mental Health Screening of Children.

(A: And he shows that the whole idea of Mental Health Screening is pushed by big pharmaceutical boys themselves. It says:)

Allen Jones gained international press coverage after uncovering pharmaceutical industry payments to government officials for the purpose

(A: That's bribes, folks. They call it lobbying.)

of implementing a national mental health screening/psychotropic drug treatment plan. In this video interview Jones describes the pharma funding and psycho/pharma agenda behind mental health "screening" of schoolchildren. He is a former investigator for the Pennsylvania Office of the Inspector General.

And so, you should see this video. It's nothing new in it. Most folk think, oh, it's official from the government. No. It's government in bed with pharma, and they've taken the cash for it, to get another Big Brother program on the go. See, you can't have anyone thinking independently. Heaven forbid. Never mind being an individual. The individual, the United Nations has said, is the world's greatest enemy. You've got to be communal and sociable, and put all your stuff up on the NSA websites, you know, like Facebook, and so on like that. Sociable, agreeable to everything. Just like Brave New World, where you get your Soma drugs, to keep you all happy. And I'm not kidding. I'm not kidding at all.

So, I'll go to the callers now too. And it takes about a second to get this particular one up here and load it. And we'll see who's there. Okay, there's Clint from Ontario, hanging on the line there. Are you there Clint?

Clint: Yes, I am. Thank you for taking my call. I have a couple things I just want to touch on. One is a recommendation for the listeners, first. One is a quick question for you, and a request. The recommendation is for a book called Agenda 21. And it's edited by a man named Daniel Sitarz. And it's exactly from the meeting in Rio in 1992.

Alan: I have that link, I think.

Clint: Yeah, and actually if your listeners have not seen it. I know the actual document is on the internet, you can get it, but to have the actual book in your hand, you can actually show it to your representatives in your town. And that's the music. Do you want me to hold on a second?

Alan: Yeah, hold on, and I'll come back after this.

Hi folks, we're back, *Cutting Through the Matrix*, talking to Clint from Ontario, about Agenda 21. Are you still there Clint?

Clint: Yeah, again, the book, I would recommend your listeners get it. It's at amazon.com or any used book outlet you can find on the internet. And it's a great book. And like I said, they can use it. They could take it to their local representatives and their town council and find the representative for Agenda 21, because every town has one.

Alan: They do.

Clint: I've talked to you about this before, where they can get a hold of ICLEI, which is iclei.org, to find their representative in their area. But the book really tells you what's going on. And there's eight chapters and it's very alarming. And the thing that's more scary is, at the end of each little chapter, they show you the financial aspects of everything, and the costs, and it's overwhelming. Like every program they have here is upwards of 500 to 700 million, billions, like it's just insane. And the whole book, I would say, would total, we're talking in the trillions here, and, of course, we are going to end up paying for it, through taxes.

Alan: Yes. Also you have to get back again to guys like Julian Huxley, who worked at the United Nations, who talked about this. See, it's only now they're calling it Agenda 21, for the 21st Century. He talked about the time where they'd have to do a rural cleansing. He says, we'll get most of the people out of the cities, back in the 1950s this is, and said, one way or another, we'll force them off through taxations and laws and fees and all the rest of it. And then the UN came out only last year, and said, and I read the article, that in about maybe ten years time, there would be very few folk left in the West living in rural areas. They'll all be forced into the inner cities. Only the very wealthy will be able to afford to live in the country.

Clint: Okay, another thing, Alan, quickly. I guess, the question, I noticed like the Queen, she doesn't wear a crown anymore on the change here in Canada. I think it's been since '08 or '09. And I always thought that that had something to do with the North American Union, perhaps, or just the global union, government.

Alan: You're probably right, actually, because people have no idea that Canada is signed into the Unification Treaty. The Free Trade Treaty was the first one, then NAFTA came after that. We've signed five integration documents since 2005 to 2010, for total integration. And it's step by step. We've already combined our intelligence services with the US completely, completely, and bureaucrats in Ottawa can apply for jobs in Washington under the treaty as it stands right now, and vice versa. So, we really are

part of it. So, yeah, you can't have the Crown lording over the North American continent.

Clint: Yeah. Okay. A quick request too. For long-time listeners like myself and a lot of the regulars, I was wondering if perhaps for us, considering you're a man of knowledgeable expertise, so to speak, you know geo-politics and political sciences and the geo-engineering, I think there's also some more to Alan Watt that you can offer the average listener. I guess, what I'm getting at is trying to get to the bottom of it, like who funded the Rockefellers and the Rothschilds and where did that all come from. And I've noticed myself, Alan, through my own research and through my friend, who I've talked to before, Peter, we've been at it for a number of years, and we find that we're always led back to the Jesuits and the Vatican, and the Piso family, and stuff like that. And I was just curious if maybe one time during the week or something, you could set aside reading the articles and touch on some more stuff that you would know about, and you know, maybe go from there, for the long-time listener.

Alan: There's so much history, there's so much history you'd almost have to do small classes a week at a time. I was thinking of doing that. Thanks for calling. And from Hamish and myself, from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your god or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[UK--Website Blocking Proposals Imminent](#)

[National Institute of Standards--Program to ID Human Cell Lines for Research--They are then Induced to Continue Growing \("Immortalized"\)](#)

[GlaxoSmithKline Pulls Cash out of Eurozone](#)

[City \(of London Banking\) Boys Rugby E-mail Goes Viral](#)

[Cops Kick, Beat and Cattle-Prod Diabetic in Coma](#)

[2 Women End Up in Calgary Hospital with Ecstasy Reactions](#)

[Tainted Ecstasy Linked to 2 Deaths in Calgary](#)

[Ecstasy Rehab Story](#)

[Sixth Tainted Ecstasy Death Probed --Calgary](#)

[Canada---Court Rules ISPs Shouldn't be Treated as Broadcasters](#)

[16Kilos Cocaine "Mistakenly" Addressed to UN NY](#)

[State-Sanctioned Parenting](#)

[US Cybercafes to Spy on Americans](#)

[Greece--No More Left to Cut](#)

[Pharma Industry Behind Mental Health Screening of Children](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"